

**INTERNATIONAL ORGANISATION FOR STANDARDISATION**  
**ORGANISATION INTERNATIONALE DE NORMALISATION**  
**ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11**  
**CODING OF MOVING PICTURES AND AUDIO**

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11 MPEG/m52527  
January 2020, Brussels, Belgium

<i>Source:</i>	Apple Inc.	
<i>Status:</i>	Input document	
<i>Title:</i>	G-PCC: High-level syntax issues	
<i>Author(s):</i>	David Flynn Khaled Mammou	<i>davidflynn@apple.com</i> <i>kmammou@apple.com</i>

---

## Abstract

Todo: abstract

The following sections address a number of issues in no particular order.

## Number of points per slice

The current draft specification [1] contains a per-slice indication of the number of decodable points (`gsh_num_points`). On multiple occasions, issues have been raised with this syntax element [2, 3] and may be summarised as:

- Implementation safety concerns: The value is not used by the decoding process, but an implementation may use the value to allocate buffers. If the value under-represents the number of points that are decodable, a buffer overflow may occur.
- Encoder burdens: The value is exp-golomb coded at the start of a slice. An encoder that performs in-loop lossy coding may add or remove points (RDO, in-tree quantisation). However, this either introduces buffering delays, or the need for careful buffer management in case the length of the field changes.
- Redundancy: It is intended that level limits will provide a maximum number of points per slice which may be used for resource allocation.

The field does, however, provide some utility in determining the number of points in a sequence, rather than the worst-case that can be determined by using the level limit for each slice.

To address implementation safety concerns, we may update the decoding process to decode up to `gsh_num_points`, or until the octree is complete, whichever is lower. For conformance, a bitstream geometry slice may not contain more points than `gsh_num_points`. However, it may contain fewer.

To address encoder burdens, we may update the field to be fixed length `u(24)` or `u(32)` for example<sup>1</sup>. Furthermore, we may choose to place the field at the end of the slice data. An encoder may then write the value out after geometry coding is complete.

## Order of parameters

The geometry slice header order of the specification and test model is currently inconsistent. Items in the headers should be ordered in a manner that simplifies access for higher layers. For instance, a higher-layer

---

<sup>1</sup>While it is possible to link the length to a level limit, this seems to be unnecessary

may need to know the position of a slice. Whereas it is unlikely to care about, or at least to a lesser extent, the quantisation parameters.

It is recommended to order the geometry slice header as follows:

```
gsh_geometry_parameter_set_id = ue(v)
gsh_tile_id = ue(v)
gsh_slice_id = ue(v)
frame_idx = u(v)
gsh_num_points = ??(?)
if( gps_box_present_flag ) { ... }
if( gps_implicit_geom_partition_flag ) { ... }
if( geom_scaling_enabled_flag ) { ... }
```

## Accidental disabling of IDCM

The adoption of planar mode contains a modification to IDCM:

```
+ // planar mode initialization
+ const int th_idcm = gps.geom_planar_idcm_threshold * 127 * 127;
...
- bool idcmEnabled = gps.inferred_direct_coding_mode_enabled_flag;
+ // decode planarity if eligible
+ int planarProb[3] = {127, 127, 127};
+ if (
+     gps.geom_planar_mode_enabled_flag
+     && (planarEligible[0] || planarEligible[1] || planarEligible[2]))
+     decoder.determinePlanarMode(
+         planarEligible, kNumPlanarPlanes, child, planes, node0.neighPattern,
+         x, y, z, planarProb, planarRate);
+
+ bool idcmEnabled = gps.inferred_direct_coding_mode_enabled_flag
+     && planarProb[0] * planarProb[1] * planarProb[2] <= th_idcm;
```

With the geometry parameter set as follows:

```
geom_planar_mode_enabled_flag = u(1)
geom_planar_idcm_threshold = ue(v)
if (geom_planar_mode_enabled_flag) {
    geom_planar_threshold0 = ue(v)
    geom_planar_threshold1 = ue(v)
    geom_planar_threshold2 = ue(v)
}
```

The result is that with planar mode disabled, in order to use IDCM, `geom_planar_idcm_threshold` must be signalled as 127, which is not necessarily obvious.

Recommendation: guard `geom_planar_idcm_threshold` by `geom_planar_mode_enabled_flag` and infer its value to be 127 if not present.

## Behaviour of the tile inventory in multi-frame sequences

The current working draft contains a means to represent multi-frame sequences [4]. However, one aspect of the proposal was omitted from the presentation, namely what should the behaviour of the tile inventory in a multi-frame sequence:

There is an issue as to how metadata in the tile inventory relates to multiple frames since there

are two perfectly valid, yet contradictory interpretations:

- that the tile metadata is valid for the entire sequence and does not change, or
- that the metadata is valid for only a part of the sequence.

To address both these cases, it is suggested to add the frame index counter to the tile inventory data unit along with a time-to-live field that indicates a duration of validity.

Recommendation: modify as originally suggested.

## References

- [1] 3DG, “G-PCC Future Enhancements,” ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, 128th meeting, Geneva, Tech. Rep. w18887, Oct. 2019.
- [2] D. Flynn, “Suggested changes to G-PCC high-level syntax,” ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, 125th meeting, Marrakech, Tech. Rep. m46530, Jan. 2019.
- [3] S. . Secretariat, “Summary of voting on ISO/IEC CD 23090-9,” ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, 128th meeting, Geneva, Tech. Rep. m49977, Oct. 2019.
- [4] D. Flynn and K. Mammou, “[G-PCC][New proposal] G-PCC multi-frame sequence coding,” ISO/IEC JTC1/SC29/WG11, 128th meeting, Geneva, Tech. Rep. m51025, Oct. 2019.